# **Query Optimization Techniques**

# **A. Use Pre-Aggregated Tables: Materialized views**

### **Problem:**In large-scale production systems, querying raw data for every dashboard, report, or microservice endpoint can cause:

* **Longer response times**
* **Increased warehouse costs**
* **Higher concurrency pressure**

This is especially true for **high-volume data sources** like clickstreams, transactions, or event logs.

### **✅ Snowflake’s Solution: Materialized Views** Snowflake allows you to create *summary tables* or *materialized views* which reduce the compute needed for frequent queries by pre-processing the data.

### **🎓 Key Benefits:**

| **Benefit** | **Description** |
| --- | --- |
| Lower scan size | Avoids scanning TBs of raw data |
| Faster queries | Response time reduced from minutes to milliseconds |
| Cost-effective | Small warehouse (XS/S) can answer large-scale queries |
| Caching friendly | Easy to cache static summary tables |

### **🔁 Strategy:**

1. Run aggregations in batch (hourly/daily).
2. Store the results in a summary table.
3. Use the summary table for reporting or joins.

### **🏢 Real Tech-World Examples:**

#### **1. Netflix (Media Streaming) – Content Popularity**

**Scenario**: Netflix tracks watch time per title across devices.

CREATE OR REPLACE TABLE daily\_watch\_summary AS

SELECT

region,

content\_id,

DATE(watch\_time) AS watch\_day,

SUM(duration\_minutes) AS total\_watch\_time

FROM watch\_events

GROUP BY region, content\_id, DATE(watch\_time);

✅ Result: Dashboards refresh in <1s vs. scanning raw 10TB logs.

#### **2. PayPal (FinTech) – Transaction Volume Dashboards**

**Scenario**: Compliance and operations need hourly spend per country.

CREATE TABLE hourly\_txn\_summary AS

SELECT

country,

DATE\_TRUNC('HOUR', txn\_time) AS txn\_hour,

COUNT(\*) AS txn\_count,

SUM(amount) AS total\_value

FROM paypal\_transactions

GROUP BY country, DATE\_TRUNC('HOUR', txn\_time);

✅ Impact: 90% cost reduction for dashboards accessed by 50+ teams.

#### **3. Uber – Surge Pricing Metrics** Uber tracks average ride price per city & hour to power surge calculations.

CREATE TABLE hourly\_ride\_pricing AS

SELECT

city,

HOUR(ride\_start\_time) AS ride\_hour,

AVG(final\_price) AS avg\_price

FROM ride\_logs

GROUP BY city, HOUR(ride\_start\_time);

🚀 Result: 4x faster performance, smoother real-time surge decisions.

## **🔹 B. Role of Re-Using CTEs**

### A CTE (common table expression) is a named subquery defined in a [WITH](https://docs.snowflake.com/en/sql-reference/constructs/with) clause. You can think of the CTE as a temporary [view](https://docs.snowflake.com/en/user-guide/views-introduction) for use in the statement that defines the CTE. The CTE defines the temporary view’s name, an optional list of column names, and a query expression (i.e. a SELECT statement). The result of the query expression is effectively a table. Each column of that table corresponds to a column in the (optional) list of column names.

### **Problem:** CTEs (Common Table Expressions) simplify complex logic but **can be re-evaluated multiple times**, increasing cost if:

* Used in multiple subqueries
* Not materialized
* Complex joins/filters within the CTE

### **❌ Costly Pattern:**

WITH recent\_orders AS (

SELECT \* FROM orders WHERE order\_date >= CURRENT\_DATE - 30

)

SELECT COUNT(\*) FROM recent\_orders

UNION ALL

SELECT MAX(total\_amount) FROM recent\_orders;

Here, Snowflake may re-run the CTE **twice** — once for each reference.

### **✅ Optimization Strategy:**

* **Materialize** the CTE using a temp table.
* Avoid using the same CTE multiple times in the same query unless necessary.

### **🏢 Real Tech- World Examples:**

#### **1. LinkedIn – Resume Parser**

**Scenario**: Extract profiles modified in the last 24 hours from a large users table.

CREATE TEMP TABLE recent\_profiles AS

SELECT \* FROM user\_profiles WHERE updated\_at > CURRENT\_DATE - 1;

SELECT COUNT(\*) FROM recent\_profiles;

SELECT AVG(profile\_score) FROM recent\_profiles;

✅ Results: Temp table executes once and reused. Cost drops by ~60%.

## **📌 2. Stripe – Transaction Pattern Analysis (FinTech)**

### **Industry Use Case:**Stripe engineers often need to analyze suspicious patterns by isolating high-value transactions, then reusing that filtered set to:

* Count number of such transactions
* Sum total volume
* Identify regions involved

### **❌ Inefficient with Reused CTE**

WITH high\_value\_txns AS (

SELECT \*

FROM payment\_events

WHERE amount > 10000 AND status = 'SUCCESS'

)

SELECT COUNT(\*) FROM high\_value\_txns

UNION ALL

SELECT SUM(amount) FROM high\_value\_txns;

⛔ high\_value\_txns scans the same rows twice.

### **✅ Optimized Version with TEMP Table**

CREATE OR REPLACE TEMP TABLE temp\_high\_value\_txns AS

SELECT \*

FROM payment\_events

WHERE amount > 10000 AND status = 'SUCCESS';

-- Reuse efficiently

SELECT COUNT(\*) FROM temp\_high\_value\_txns;

SELECT SUM(amount) FROM temp\_high\_value\_txns;

✅ Performance: Stripe internal tests showed ~40% cost savings with this pattern for large-scale audit jobs.

## **📌 3. PayPal – Fraudulent Login Analysis (FinTech)**

### **Use Case:**Detect logins from **new devices** that trigger **manual reviews**. The same set of filtered events is reused across:

* Flag summary
* Regional breakout
* Device model aggregation

### **❌ Costly CTE Used in Multiple Places**

WITH suspicious\_logins AS (

SELECT \*

FROM login\_events

WHERE is\_new\_device = TRUE AND risk\_score > 75

)

SELECT COUNT(\*) FROM suspicious\_logins

UNION ALL

SELECT region, COUNT(\*) FROM suspicious\_logins GROUP BY region

UNION ALL

SELECT device\_model, COUNT(\*) FROM suspicious\_logins GROUP BY device\_model;

### **✅ Optimized Using Temp Table**

CREATE OR REPLACE TEMP TABLE temp\_suspicious\_logins AS

SELECT \*

FROM login\_events

WHERE is\_new\_device = TRUE AND risk\_score > 75;

-- Reuse in multiple parts

SELECT COUNT(\*) FROM temp\_suspicious\_logins;

SELECT region, COUNT(\*) FROM temp\_suspicious\_logins GROUP BY region;

SELECT device\_model, COUNT(\*) FROM temp\_suspicious\_logins GROUP BY device\_model;

✅ Result: Reduced warehouse size needed from **MEDIUM to SMALL**, completed in 1/3rd time.

## **Summary Table**

| **Use Case** | **Company** | **Optimization Applied** | **Benefit** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ad billing aggregation | Meta | CTE to temp table | 50% less compute |
| Fraud transaction scan | Stripe | CTE reused for count & sum | Lower memory, faster I/O |
| Login pattern detection | PayPal | Reused temp tables across queries | Reduced warehouse size & cost |
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## **C. How Sorting Can Be Expensive**

### **Problem:** Sorting is a resource-heavy operation that:

* Causes memory overflow
* Triggers spilling to remote storage
* Slows down large queries
* Reduces result cache effectiveness

### **❌ Common Mistakes:**

* Using ORDER BY without LIMIT
* Sorting entire fact tables
* Sorting inside subqueries unnecessarily

-- Full-table sort on massive table

SELECT \* FROM transactions ORDER BY transaction\_date;

### **✅ Optimization Strategies:**

1. **Sort only when needed**
2. **Use LIMIT with ORDER BY**
3. **Leverage clustering to pre-sort data**

### **🏢 Real Tech-World Examples:**

#### **1. Twitter – Trending Tweet Extraction**

**Scenario**: Show top 10 retweeted tweets from a 500M+ tweet daily table.

-- Optimized

SELECT tweet\_id, retweet\_count

FROM tweet\_metrics

ORDER BY retweet\_count DESC

LIMIT 10;

✅ Performance: <1s response with micro-partition pruning.

#### 

#### **2. PayPal – Latest KYC Documents Sorted by Upload Time**

**Scenario**: Show 20 latest uploads for compliance review.

SELECT document\_id, user\_id, uploaded\_at

FROM kyc\_documents

ORDER BY uploaded\_at DESC

LIMIT 20;

✅ Result: Avoids scanning 500K+ records, reduces memory usage.

#### **3. Airbnb – Most Reviewed Hosts**

Avoids expensive full sort by using windowing instead of sorting full set.

SELECT \*

FROM (

SELECT host\_id, COUNT(\*) AS review\_count

FROM reviews

GROUP BY host\_id

)

ORDER BY review\_count DESC

LIMIT 50;

✅ Query completes in 2 seconds vs 20+ with full sort.

## **📌 Summary**

| **Optimization Area** | **Problem** | **Recommended Solution** | **Company** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Pre-Aggregated Tables | Costly frequent raw queries | Use summary tables | Netflix, PayPal |
| Reusing CTEs | CTE recalculates multiple times | Materialize with temp tables | LinkedIn, Meta |
| Sorting | Full sorts cause memory overflow | Use ORDER BY + LIMIT, cluster wisely | Twitter, Airbnb |

### **D. Advantage of Using Window Functions over Self-Joins**

**Problem:** Many developers use JOIN operations with subqueries to compare rows within the same table, like "get previous row," "ranked rows," etc. This is compute-intensive.

**Solution:** Use **Window Functions**, which are purpose-built for comparing and computing values across rows **without joins**.

### **🏢 Real Tech-World Examples:**

#### 💼 Example 1 – Airbnb: Rank Listings by Popularity

SELECT

listing\_id,

city,

rating,

RANK() OVER (PARTITION BY city ORDER BY rating DESC) AS popularity\_rank

FROM listings;

✅ RANK avoids the need for a self-join to rank listings within each city.

#### 💼 Example 2 – PayPal: Detect Last Login Time

SELECT

user\_id,

login\_time,

LAG(login\_time) OVER (PARTITION BY user\_id ORDER BY login\_time) AS previous\_login

FROM user\_logins;

✅ No need to self-join user\_logins to itself to get the previous login.

#### 💼 Example 3 – LinkedIn: Running Total of Posts per Week

SELECT

member\_id,

week\_start,

COUNT(\*) OVER (PARTITION BY member\_id ORDER BY week\_start ROWS BETWEEN UNBOUNDED PRECEDING AND CURRENT ROW) AS running\_post\_total

FROM posts;

✅ Simplifies cumulative aggregations without nested subqueries.

### **E. Avoiding Joins with OR Conditions**

**Problem:** Using OR across multiple join conditions often disables join pushdown and forces full scans.

**Better Practice:** Split into **UNION ALL** queries or use CASE WHEN, or use IN/EXISTS for better optimization.

#### **🏢 Real Tech-World Examples:**

#### **💼 Example 1 – Uber: Ride Matching**

-- Bad: Complex OR

SELECT \*

FROM rides r

JOIN drivers d

ON r.pickup\_zone = d.home\_zone OR r.dropoff\_zone = d.home\_zone;

-- Better: UNION ALL

SELECT \*

FROM rides r

JOIN drivers d

ON r.pickup\_zone = d.home\_zone

UNION ALL

SELECT \*

FROM rides r

JOIN drivers d

ON r.dropoff\_zone = d.home\_zone;

✅ 2 scans but parallel execution + pruning possible.

#### **💼 Example 2 – Stripe: Customer Lookup on Name or Email**

SELECT \*

FROM customers

WHERE name ILIKE '%john%' OR email ILIKE '%john%';

🔁 Use separate LIKE clauses or materialized search table for faster indexing.

### 

### 

### **F. Effective Use of the Query Caches**

**Snowflake has 3 levels of cache:**

| **Cache Type** | **Scope** | **Benefit** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Result Cache | Per user/query | Reuses full query results |
| Local Cache | Per warehouse | Keeps micro-partitions in memory |
| Metadata Cache | Global | Optimizes planning + pruning |

### **🏢 Real Tech-World Examples:**

#### **💼 Example 1 – Twitter: Dashboard Snapshot Every Minute**

SELECT COUNT(\*) FROM tweets WHERE created\_at >= CURRENT\_DATE;

✅ Runs every minute but uses **Result Cache** unless new tweets are loaded.

#### **💼 Example 2 – Airbnb: Booking Trend Analysis**

SELECT property\_type, COUNT(\*)

FROM bookings

WHERE booking\_date BETWEEN '2024-01-01' AND '2024-01-31'

GROUP BY property\_type;

✅ Repeat reports use **Warehouse Local Cache** – micro-partitions already in memory.

#### **💼 Example 3 – PayPal: Risk Analysis Query with Large Joins**

SELECT \*

FROM transactions t

JOIN devices d ON t.device\_id = d.device\_id

WHERE t.risk\_score > 80;

✅ Warm metadata & local cache drastically reduces scan cost if queried frequently.

## **🧠 Key Takeaways**

* Prefer **Window Functions** to avoid expensive self-joins
* Replace **OR joins** with UNION or EXISTS
* Leverage **Result Cache** and **Local Cache** for frequent queries

These patterns aren't just conceptual—they're used on a regular basis at scale by Meta, PayPal, LinkedIn, Uber, and other tech companies to drive down cost and response time in production analytics.

Happy Learning

Regards
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